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Abstract

Suppose $M$ is a compact manifold with boundary $\partial M$. Let $\tilde{M} \downarrow M$ be a normal covering with covering group $\Gamma$. Suppose $(A,T)$ is an elliptic differential boundary value problem on $M$ with lift $(\tilde{A},\tilde{T})$ to $\tilde{M}$. Then the von Neumann dimension $\dim_{\Gamma}$ of kernel and cokernel of this lift are defined. The main result of this paper is: these numbers are finite, and their difference, by definition the von Neumann index $\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(\tilde{A},\tilde{T})$, equals the index of $(A,T)$. In this way, we extend the classical $L^2$-index theorem of Atiyah to manifolds with boundary.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we study elliptic differential boundary value problems on coverings of compact manifolds. Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary $\partial M$. Suppose $E,F \downarrow M$ and $Y \downarrow \partial M$ are Riemannian vector bundles. Let $A : C^\infty(E) \to C^\infty(F)$ be a differential operator and $T : C^\infty(E) \to C^\infty(Y)$ a differential boundary operator so that the pair $\mathcal{P} := (A,T)$ is elliptic. Define

$$\ker \mathcal{P} := \{ f \in L^2(E) ; f \in C^\infty, Af = 0 = Tf \} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\coker \mathcal{P} := \{ (F,f) \in L^2(F) \oplus L^2(Y) ; \langle F, A\varphi \rangle_{L^2(F)} + \langle f, T\varphi \rangle_{L^2(Y)} = 0 \, \forall \varphi \in C^\infty_0(E) \}.$$ 

The classical theory of elliptic boundary value problems states that the dimensions of kernel and cokernel are finite and studies $\text{ind}(\mathcal{P}) := \dim \ker \mathcal{P} - \dim \coker \mathcal{P}$. The index theorem (recalled below) provides deep connections between topological, geometrical and analytical properties of the manifold.

Suppose $\tilde{M} \downarrow M$ is a normal covering of $M$ with deck transformation group $\Gamma$. Pull the bundles back to $\tilde{M}$ and lift the operators and
metrics. We use the convention that corresponding objects on $\tilde{M}$ have the same notation decorated with an additional tilde. Note that $\Gamma$ operates on the bundles, their sections and that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = (\tilde{A}, \tilde{T})$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant. Define the kernel and cokernel of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ literally in the same way as for $\mathcal{P}$. They are in general infinite dimensional. But $\text{ker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\text{coker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ are Hilbert modules over the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$. (For von Neumann algebras and Hilbert modules compare \cite{4, 7}.) For these Hilbert modules, a normalized dimension $\dim_\Gamma$ with values in $[0, \infty]$ is defined. It vanishes exactly if the module is trivial, it is additive under direct sums, and

$$|\Gamma| < \infty \implies \dim_\Gamma = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \dim_\mathbb{C}. \quad (1.1)$$

The following is the main result of this paper:

1.2. Theorem. In the situation described above, $\dim_\Gamma \text{ker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) < \infty$, $\dim_\Gamma \text{coker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) < \infty$ and

$$\text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) := \dim_\Gamma \text{ker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) - \dim_\Gamma \text{coker}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Remarkably, $\text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$, the difference of two reals, is an integer.

The theorem is particularly interesting because for $\text{ind}(\mathcal{P})$ on $M$ a well known purely topological expression exists, compare Atiyah/Bott \cite[Theorem 2]{2} or Atiyah’s \cite[Appendix I]{3}, every elliptic boundary value problem $(A, p)$ defines a $K$-theoretic symbol class $[\sigma(A, p)] \in K(B(M), S(M) \cup B(M)|_{\partial M})$ (here $B(M)$ and $S(M)$ are the disc bundle and sphere bundle of $TM$). As usual one assigns to this symbol class the topological index, and it coincides with the analytical index. It can be computed cohomologically as (compare \cite{2})

$$\text{ind}_t(A, p) = \int_{S(M)} ch(A) \pi^* T(M) + \int_{B(M)|_{\partial M}} ch(A, p) \pi^* T(M),$$

where $\pi : TM \to M$ is the projection, $T(M)$ is a Todd class of $M$ and $ch$ the Chern character.

1.3. Corollary (of Theorem 1.2). The index of elliptic differential boundary value problems is multiplicative under finite coverings.

Proof. This follows from the multiplicativity (1.1) of $\dim_\Gamma$. \hfill $\Box$
In Theorem 1.2 we can replace \( \text{coker}(\tilde{P}) \) with the kernel of an adjoint boundary value problem by Theorem 6.1. Sometimes it is easier to deal with kernels. As an application we compute the Euler characteristic of \( M \) in terms of \( L^2 \)-harmonic forms on \( \tilde{M} \) in Theorem 6.4. Dodziuk [5] and Donnelly/Xavier [6] have computed the sign of the Euler characteristic of closed negatively curved manifolds in this way. An extension to manifolds with boundary is given in [12, Section 6].

Our index theorem is the generalization of Atiyah’s \( L^2 \)-index theorem [1] to manifolds with boundary. The proof is along the lines of Atiyah’s proof. In order to deal with boundary value problems, we replace the calculus of pseudo-differential operators by the Boutet de Monvel calculus. We also try to clarify the exposition. For this reason, in Section 2 we introduce and study thoroughly traces for endomorphisms of arbitrary Hilbert modules. We use the theory of Sobolev spaces to simplify the work with regularizing operators and especially with their traces. An important result, which should be valuable also in other contexts, is:

1.4. Theorem. (compare Theorem 3.4)
If \( r > \dim M/2 \), the inclusion of Sobolev spaces \( H^{s+r}(\tilde{M}) \hookrightarrow H^s(\tilde{M}) \) is a \( \Gamma \)-trace class operator.

The idea for the proof of the index theorem is: to \( P \) construct an inverse \( Q \) (modulo smoothing operators) in the BdM calculus which can be lifted to \( \tilde{M} \), i.e. \( PQ = 1 - \tilde{S}_1, QP = 1 - S_0 \) and \( \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} = 1 - \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} = 1 - \tilde{S}_0 \). Then the following two results prove the theorem:

- \( \text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{P}) = \text{Sp}_\Gamma \tilde{S}_0 - \text{Sp}_\Gamma \tilde{S}_1 \) (and the corresponding formula on the base with \( \Gamma = \{1\} \))

- For lifts of smoothing operators, we have \( \text{Sp}_\Gamma \tilde{S} = \text{Sp} S \).

Note that our index theorem does not generalize the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem [3]. They deal with a specific non-local boundary condition for Dirac type operators. There is also an \( L^2 \)-version of this type of index theorem, proved by Ramachandran [9]. He deals with Dirac type operators and the APS-boundary conditions. Contrariwise, our result is valid for arbitrary elliptic differential boundary value problems, but we only deal with local boundary conditions. In particular, we can not handle the signature.
This work is part of the Dissertation [2] of the author. I thank my advisor Prof. Wolfgang Lück for his constant support.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation:

1.5. Definition. For \( c > 0 \) we define

\[
a \leq c \leq b \iff a \leq c \cdot b
\]

and similarly \( a \leq b \), . . . . In a longer chain of inequalities, the same symbol (e.g. \( c \)) may be used for different constants.

If not stated otherwise, \( H \) is a Hilbert space, \( B(H_1, H_2) \) denotes the bounded operators from \( H_1 \) to \( H_2 \), \( B(H) = B(H, H) \). \( M \) is a compact smooth manifold of dimension \( m \) with boundary \( \partial M \) and \( E, F \downarrow M, Y \downarrow \partial M \) are vector bundles.

2 Traces for \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) \)-module morphisms

In this section, we introduce the von Neumann trace \( \text{tr}_\Gamma \) for morphisms between (not necessarily finite) Hilbert modules over the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) \) of a discrete group \( \Gamma \). To gain greater flexibility, we introduce the concept of \( \Gamma \)-trace class operators also if domain and range are different.

First note that on \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) := B(l^2\Gamma)^\Gamma \) we have the canonical finite trace \( \text{tr}_\Gamma(a) = (a(e), e) \). Moreover, on a Hilbert space \( H \) the trace \( \text{Sp}(A) = \sum_i (Ah_i, h_i) \) exists ((\( h_i \)) an orthonormal basis of \( H \)).

2.1. Definition. This yields a \( \Gamma \)-trace, called \( \text{Sp}_\Gamma \), on the \( \Gamma \)-operators on \( l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H \) which is defined by

\[
\text{Sp}_\Gamma(a \otimes A) = \text{tr}_\Gamma(a) \cdot \text{Sp}(A).
\]

Note that this makes sense only for positive operators and for the operators in the \( \Gamma \)-trace class ideal (defined as usual, compare \([4\), chapter I]). We also have the \( \Gamma \)-Hilbert Schmidt (HS) operators defined by

\[
f \in B(l^2\Gamma \otimes H)^\Gamma \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-HS} \iff \text{Sp}_\Gamma(f \ast f) < \infty.
\]

Now we handle the general case: Remember that a \textit{Hilbert} \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) \)-\textit{module} is a Hilbert space \( V \) with left \( \Gamma \)-action so that an isometric embedding \( V \hookrightarrow l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H \) exists which is compatible with the \( \Gamma \)-actions. If \( V, W \) are two Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) \)-modules, a bounded linear
map $f : V \to W$ which is compatible with the $\Gamma$-action is called an $N(\Gamma)$-module morphism.

### 2.2. Definition.
Let $V_k$ be Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-modules with isometric $\Gamma$-embeddings $i_k : V_k \hookrightarrow l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H_k$. Let $p_k := i_k^*$ be the corresponding projections ($k = 1, 2$). Let $f : V_1 \to V_2$ be a Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-module morphism.

We call $f : V_1 \to V_2$ $\Gamma$-Hilbert Schmidt ($\Gamma$-HS) if $\text{Sp}_\Gamma(i_1^*fp_1) < \infty$, and we denote it $\Gamma$-trace class ($\Gamma$-tr) if $\Gamma$-HS morphisms $f_1 : V_1 \to V_3$ and $f_2 : V_3 \to V_2$ exist so that $f = f_2f_1$.

If $V_1 = V_2$ and $f$ is $\Gamma$-tr we set $\text{Sp}_\Gamma(f) := \text{Sp}_\Gamma(i_1^*fp_1)$.

The following basic properties show that this is well defined.

### 2.3. Theorem.
Let $f : V_1 \to V_2$, $g : V_2 \to V_3$, $e : V_0 \to V_1$ be Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-module morphisms. Then

1. $f \text{ $\Gamma$-tr } \iff f^* \text{ $\Gamma$-tr } \iff |f| \text{ $\Gamma$-tr } \iff f^* \text{ $\Gamma$-HS}$
2. $f \text{ $\Gamma$-HS } \implies gf, fe \text{ $\Gamma$-HS}$
3. $f \text{ $\Gamma$-tr } \implies gf, fe \text{ $\Gamma$-tr}$
4. $f \text{ $\Gamma$-tr and } V_1 = V_3 \implies g \mapsto \text{Sp}_\Gamma(gf)$ is ultra-weakly continuous.
5. $V_1 = V_3$ and either $f \text{ $\Gamma$-tr or } g \text{ $\Gamma$-HS } \implies \text{Sp}_\Gamma(gf) = \text{Sp}_\Gamma(fg)$
6. If $V_{12} = l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H$, $a$ is $\Gamma$-HS and $B \in B(H)$ is HS, then $f = a \otimes B$ is $\Gamma$-HS. If $a$ is $\Gamma$-tr and $B$ is trace class, then $f$ is $\Gamma$-tr with $\text{Sp}_\Gamma(f) = \text{tr}_\Gamma(a)\text{Sp}(B)$.

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the corresponding properties of $\text{tr}_\Gamma$ and $\text{Sp}$, compare [2, 9.13].

As usual, armed with a $\Gamma$-trace we define the $\Gamma$-dimension:

### 2.4. Definition.
Let $V$ be a Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-module. Then

$$\dim_\Gamma(V) := \text{Sp}_\Gamma(\text{id}_V) \in [0, \infty].$$

We now come to an important result, which is essentially proved in Atiyah’s paper [1]. He does not state it in full generality, but his proof works nearly literally, and can also be found in [12, 9.16].
2.5. Proposition. Suppose $V, W$ are Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$-modules. Let $T_0 : V \to V$ and $T_1 : W \to W$ be bounded $\Gamma$-morphisms which are $\Gamma$-tr. Let $D : V \to W$ be a closed operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(D)$ which commutes with the action of $\Gamma$. Especially, we require that $\mathcal{D}(D)$ is $\Gamma$-invariant and dense. Suppose

\[ DT_0 = T_1 D; \quad \ker D \subset \ker T_0; \quad \ker D^* \subset \ker T_1^*. \]

\[ \Rightarrow \text{Sp}_\Gamma(T_0) = \text{Sp}_\Gamma(T_1) \]

3. $L^2$-Rellich lemma

Let $M$ be a compact $m$-dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial M$ (possibly empty). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a normal covering of $M$ with covering group $\Gamma$ (acting by isometries). Let $E|_M$ be a vector bundle with pullback $\tilde{E}|_{\tilde{M}}$.

There is a natural way to define Sobolev spaces on $\tilde{M}$:

3.1. Definition. Choose a finite covering of $M$ by charts $\kappa_i$ with subordinate partition of unity $\varphi_i$ so that $E$ is trivial over the domain of $\kappa_i$ with trivialization $t_i$. Lift charts, partition of unity and trivializations to $\tilde{M}$. Then we define the Sobolev norm $|\cdot|_{H^s}$ by

\[ |\sigma|_{H^s} := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_i |t_i \circ (\tilde{\varphi}_i \cdot \gamma^* \sigma) \circ \tilde{\kappa}_i^{-1}|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)} \quad \sigma \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{E}). \]

The Sobolev space $H^s(\tilde{E})$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\tilde{E})$ with respect to this norm. The inner product does depend on the choices, but not the topology.

We will show in this section that $H^s(\tilde{E})$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$-module and that the inclusion $H^{s+r}(\tilde{E}) \hookrightarrow H^s(\tilde{E})$ is $\Gamma$-HS for $r > m/2$.

Let $W$ be the double of $M$ with reflection $\text{fl} : W \to W$. Let $X|_W$ be the double of $E$. The reflection $\text{fl}$ extends as a bundle map to $X$. Construct similarly $\tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{X}$. Then $W$ is a normal covering of $W$ with covering group $\Gamma$. Again we denote the reflection $\text{fl}$.

3.2. Lemma. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a bounded $\Gamma$-equivariant extension map $e : H^s(M) \to H^s(\tilde{M})$, i.e. $e(f)|_{\tilde{M}} = f \quad \forall f \in H^s(M)$. The restriction map is also $\Gamma$-equivariant and bounded.

The corresponding statement holds for $\tilde{E}$. 
Proof. The proof can be found in [11, p. 27]. One uses a Γ-invariant covering of \( \tilde{M} \) by charts and the corresponding extension map on Euclidian space (Taylor [15, I.5.1]).

Suppose \( U \subset \tilde{M} \subset \tilde{W} \) is a fundamental domain for the covering \( p: \tilde{M} \to M \). This means that \( U \) is open, \( p|_U \) is injective and \( M-p(U) \) is a set of measure zero. Choose \( U \) so that its closure is compact, and choose a compact submanifold with boundary \( T \subset \tilde{W} \) of codimension zero, so that \( U \cup \text{fl}(U) \subset T \) and so that the interior of \( T \) is mapped surjectively onto \( \tilde{W} \).

3.3. Lemma. Suppose \( s \in \mathbb{R} \). The map \( p \) defined by the composition

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^s(\tilde{M}) & \xrightarrow{e} & H^s(\tilde{W}) \\
& \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T) \\
f & \xrightarrow{\quad} & ef & \xrightarrow{\quad} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} g \otimes \left( g^{-1}(ef)|_T \right)
\end{array}
\]

is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant, and there exist \( C_{1,2} > 0 \) so that

\[
|f|_{H^s(\tilde{M})} \leq |pf|_{l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T)} \leq |f|_{H^s(\tilde{M})}.
\]

In particular, \( H^s(\tilde{M}) \) (with the pull back norm under \( p \)) is a Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}(\Gamma) \)-module. The corresponding statement holds for \( \tilde{E} \).

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, \( e \) has the required properties. It remains to consider \( \bar{p} \). Obviously, \( \bar{p} \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivariant.

Because \( \Gamma \) is discrete and \( T \) is compact, it meets only finitely many, say \( N \), of its translates \( \{gT\}_{g \in \Gamma} \).

By definition, \( \|g \otimes f_g\|^2_{l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T)} = \sum |f_g|^2_{H^s(T)} \). To show that \( \bar{p} \) is bounded let \( \{U_i\}_{i=1,...,N} \) be open subsets of \( \tilde{W} \) which cover \( T \) so that the covering projection maps each \( U_i \) injectively to \( W \). Choose submanifold charts \( \kappa_i \) for \( (U_i, U_i \cap T) \) and functions \( 0 \leq \varphi_i \leq 1 \) with compact support in \( U_i \) so that \( \sum_i \varphi_i = 1 \) on \( T \). Recognize that for every single \( i \) we can extend \( (U_i, \varphi_i, \kappa_i) \) to a corresponding collection \( (U^i_{\alpha, \gamma}, \varphi^i_{\alpha, \gamma}, \kappa^i_{\alpha, \gamma})_{\alpha, \gamma} \) which can be used to compute Sobolev norms on \( \tilde{W} \). The norm will depend on the data (hence on \( i \)), but all the norms are equivalent. Therefore for \( f \in H^s(\tilde{W}) \)
\[ |\tilde{p}f|^2_{l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{\gamma \in T} |\varphi_i \gamma^* f \circ \kappa_i^{-1}|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_m^{\geq 0})} \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{N_i} \left| (\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}^i f) \circ (\kappa_{\alpha,\gamma}^i)^{-1} \right|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)} \]

(since we have more and larger summands)

\[ NC \leq |f|^2_{H^s(\tilde{W})}. \]

On the other hand (fix \( i \))

\[ |f|^2_{H^s(\tilde{W})} = \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{N_i} \sum_{\gamma} \left| (\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}^i f) \circ (\kappa_{\alpha,\gamma}^i)^{-1} \right|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)} \]

(choose \( U_{\alpha,\gamma}^i \) so small that each of them lies in the interior of some translate of \( T \). Then we can for every fixed \( \alpha \) add more positive summands to get (up to norm equivalence) \( |\cdot|_{l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T)} \). Therefore:)

\[ CN_i \leq |f|^2_{l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(T)}. \]

The computations for \( \tilde{E} \) are similar, but notationally more complicated.

**3.4. Theorem.** Suppose \( s, r \in \mathbb{R} \). The inclusion \( \tilde{i} : H^{s+r}(\tilde{E}) \to H^s(\tilde{E}) \) is \( \Gamma \)-HS if \( r > m/2 \), and is \( \Gamma \)-tr if \( r > m \).

**Proof.** Let \( X \upharpoonright W \) be the double of \( E \). The following diagram commutes by the geometric definition of \( p \):

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
H^{s+r}(\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{p_{s+r}} & l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^{s+r}(\tilde{X}|_T) \\
\downarrow \tilde{i} & & \downarrow 1 \otimes i \\
H^s(\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{p_{s}} & l^2(\Gamma) \otimes H^s(\tilde{X}|_T).
\end{array} \]

Remember that we have equipped \( H^s(\tilde{E}) \) with the Hilbert space structure which makes \( p \) an isometric embedding, therefore \( p^* p = 1 \). This yields

\[ \tilde{i} = p_{s}^* p_{i} = p_{s}^* (1 \otimes i) p_{s+r} \]
Now we apply Properties (2) and (6) of Theorem 2.3, together with the classical result that for bundles over compact manifolds the inclusion $H^{s+r} \hookrightarrow H^s$ is HS if $r > m/2$. The second conclusion is an immediate corollary of the first.

\section{Boutet de Monvel calculus}

The \textit{Boutet de Monvel (BdM)} calculus is a tool to deal with boundary value problems. It generalizes the calculus of pseudo-differential operators on manifolds without boundary. We will not go into the details, but only cite the results which are essential for our applications.

The main point of the Boutet de Monvel calculus is the introduction of an algebra of operators which includes the boundary value problems we want to study and also their inverses. The first observation we have to make is that we naturally have to consider matrices of operators.

For us the following is important: every elliptic boundary value problem has a parametrix (an inverse modulo smoothing operators). And every BdM operator is up to a smoothing operator nearly local.

\subsection{Definition.} Let $M$ be a manifold with boundary $\partial M$. Let $E, F \downarrow M$ be vector bundles over $M$, $X, Y \downarrow \partial M$ bundles over the boundary. A BdM operator $\mathcal{P}$ has the shape

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} A + G & K \\ T & p \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} C_0^\infty(E) \oplus C_\infty^\infty(X) \\ C_\infty^\infty(F) \oplus C_0^\infty(Y) \end{array} ,$$

where $A$ is a pseudo-differential operator (pdo) \textit{with the transmission property on $M$}, $p$ is a pdo on $\partial M$. $T : C_\infty^\infty(E) \to C_\infty^\infty(Y)$ is a trace operator, $K : C_0^\infty(X) \to C_\infty^\infty(F)$ a potential operator and $G : C_0^\infty(E) \to C_\infty^\infty(F)$ a Green operator.

\subsection{Remark.} The operators $A$ and $T$ come from the boundary value problems. The potential operator $K$ is a solution operator, and the Green operator $G$ had to be introduced to obtain an algebra.

Every BdM operator has an order $\mu \in [-\infty, \infty)$ and a type $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The order is a generalization of the order of a (pseudo)di↵erential operator, the type is determined by the trace and Green operator and says “how much restriction to the boundary” is involved.
BdM operators are locally defined (except the smoothing operators, see 4.4): \( \mathcal{P} \) is BdM, if and only if for all cutoff functions \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) \((\psi = 1 \text{ on } \text{supp } \varphi)\) with support in a chart the Euclidian operator \( \varphi \mathcal{P} \psi \) is BdM and if \( \varphi \mathcal{P} (1 - \psi) \) is a BdM operator of order \(-\infty\) and type zero.

For the rest of the section, adopt the situation of Definition 4.1.

4.3. Proposition. Suppose \( M \) is compact.
Let \( \mathcal{P} : C^\infty(E) \oplus C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(F) \oplus C^\infty(Y) \) and \( \mathcal{Q} : C^\infty(F) \oplus C^\infty(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(G) \oplus C^\infty(Z) \) be BdM operators of order \( \mu \) and type \( d \) and \( \mu', d' \) respectively. Then the composition \( \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} \) is a BdM operator of order \( \mu + \mu' \) and type \( \max\{d', d + \mu'\} \).

The BdM operator \( \mathcal{P} \) of order \( \mu \geq 0 \) and type \( d \leq \mu \) is elliptic if and only if there exists a BdM operator \( \mathcal{Q} : C^\infty(F) \oplus C^\infty(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(E) \oplus C^\infty(X) \) of order \(-\mu\) and type zero so that
\[
S_0 := \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 := \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} - 1
\]
are of order \(-\infty\) and \( S_0 \) is of type \( \mu \), \( S_1 \) of type zero. \( \mathcal{Q} \) is called a parametrix of \( \mathcal{P} \). Two parametrices differ by an operator of order \(-\infty\).

Every differential boundary value problem \( \mathcal{P} = (A,T) : C^\infty_0(E) \rightarrow C^\infty_0(F) \oplus C^\infty_0(Y) \) is a Boutet de Monvel operator. If it is elliptic in the Lopatinsky-Shapiro sense, it is also elliptic in the sense of the BdM algebra.

Proof. Compare Schrohe/Schulze \[4\] and Rempel/Schulze \[10\].

In the study of elliptic boundary value problems, the BdM operators of order \(-\infty\) are important. These are operators with smooth integral kernels:

4.4. Definition. We call \( \mathcal{P} \) a smoothing Boutet de Monvel operator (an operator of order \(-\infty\) and type \( d \geq 0 \)), if there exist smooth integral kernels
\[
a, g_0 \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*E,p_1^*F)|M \times M),
g_1, \ldots, g_d \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*E|\partial M,p_1^*F)|M \times \partial M),
k \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*X,p_1^*F)|M \times \partial M),
p \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*X,p_1^*Y)|\partial M \times \partial M),
t_1, \ldots, t_d \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*E|\partial M,p_1^*Y)|\partial M \times \partial M),
t_0 \in C^\infty(\text{Hom}(p_2^*E,p_1^*Y)|\partial M \times M),
\]
so that $A$ and $p$ have the corresponding integral kernel and for $F \in C_0^\infty(E)$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(X)$ we have in addition

$$GF(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\partial M} g_i(x, y)(\partial_\nu)^{-i-1} F(y') dy' + \int_M g_0(x, y) F(y) dy$$

$$Kf(x) = \int_{\partial M} k(x, y') f(y') dy'$$

$$TF(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\partial M} t_i(x', y)(\partial_\nu)^{-i-1} F(y') dy' + \int_M t_0(x', y) F(y) dy,$$

where $\partial_\nu$ denotes differentiation in inward normal direction.

4.5. Definition. Equip $M$ with a Riemannian metric. An operator $P : C_0^\infty(E) \oplus C_0^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(F) \oplus C^\infty(Y)$ is called $\epsilon$-local ($\epsilon > 0$), if

$$\text{supp}(Pf) \subset \{ x \in M; \; d(x, \text{supp } f) < \epsilon \} \; \forall f \in C_0^\infty.$$

4.6. Proposition. Suppose $M$ is a compact Riemannian manifold and $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Every BdM operator $P$ is the sum of an $\epsilon$-local operator and a smoothing BdM operator of type zero.

Proof. Choose a finite covering of $M$ by balls $\{U_i\}$ of radius $\epsilon/2$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be a subordinate partition of unity and $\psi_i$ cutoff functions with $\psi_i = 1$ on $\text{supp } \varphi_i$ and $\text{supp } \psi_i \subset U_i$. Set

$$P_1 := \sum_i \varphi_i P \psi_i, \quad P_2 := P - P_1 = \sum_i \varphi_i P (1 - \psi_i).$$

Then $P_2$ is a smoothing BdM operator of type zero and $P_1$ is $\epsilon$-local. $P_1$ is a BdM operator of same order and type as $P$ [10, 2.3.3.2. Theorem 1].

4.7. Proposition. Suppose $M$ is compact. Let $P$ be a BdM operator of order $\mu$ and type $d$. If $s > d - 1/2$, then $P$ extends to a continuous operator

$$P : H^s(E) \oplus H^s(X) \to H^{s-\mu}(F) \oplus H^{s-\mu}(Y).$$

Proof. Compare Schrohe/Schulze [14, 2.2.19].
4.8. Proposition. Let $\tilde{M} \mid M$ be a normal Riemannian covering of Riemannian manifolds with covering group $\Gamma$, where $M$ is compact. Suppose the covering is trivial over balls of radius $2\epsilon$. Suppose

$$\mathcal{P} : C^\infty(E) \oplus C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(F) \oplus C^\infty(Y)$$

is an $\epsilon$-local operator which extends to a bounded operator

$$\mathcal{P} : H^s(E) \oplus H^s(X) \to H^{s-\mu}(F) \oplus H^{s-\mu}(Y).$$

Then $\mathcal{P}$ lifts to an operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} : C^\infty(\tilde{E}) \oplus C^\infty(\tilde{X}) \to C^\infty(\tilde{F}) \oplus C^\infty(\tilde{Y}),$$

which has a bounded extension

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} : H^s(\tilde{E}) \oplus H^s(\tilde{X}) \to H^{s-\mu}(\tilde{F}) \oplus H^{s-\mu}(\tilde{Y}).$$

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a covering of $M$ by balls of radius $\epsilon$, let $V_i$ be the corresponding balls of radius $2\epsilon$. Let $\varphi_i$ be a subordinate covering of unity. This induces a $\Gamma$-invariant covering $\{U_{i,\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of $\tilde{M}$ with subordinate $\Gamma$-invariant partition of unity $\varphi_{i,\gamma}$. It is clear how to lift $\mathcal{P}$. To check boundedness, let $\mathcal{F} = (F,f) \in C^\infty(\tilde{E}) \oplus C^\infty(\tilde{X})$ be given. Then (use $|a + b|^2 \leq 3(|a|^2 + |b|^2)$)

$$\left| \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{F} \right|_{H^{s-\mu}}^2 \leq \left| \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{i,\gamma} \varphi_{i,\gamma} \mathcal{F} \right|_{H^{s-\mu}}^2 \leq \sum_{i,\gamma} \left| \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \varphi_{i,\gamma} \mathcal{F} \right|_{H^{s-\mu}}^2 \leq \sum_{i,\gamma} |\varphi_{i,\gamma} \mathcal{F}|_{H^s}^2 = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H^s}^2.\)

(*) holds since $\text{supp}(\varphi_{i,\gamma}) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi_{i,\gamma'}) = \emptyset$ if $\gamma \neq \gamma'$.

Next we compute the trace of sufficiently regularizing BdM operators. Most important is the fact that the $\Gamma$-trace of a lift equals the trace of the operator on the base.

4.9. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{P} : C^\infty(E) \oplus C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(E) \oplus C^\infty(X)$ be a BdM operator of order $-\mu < -4m$ and type $d$ ($m = \dim M$). For $s > d - 1/2$, $\mathcal{P}$ extends to a bounded trace class operator

$$\mathcal{P} : H^s(E) \oplus H^s(X) \to H^s(E) \oplus H^s(X).$$
The value of the trace is independent of \( s \).

If \( \mathcal{P} \) is \( \epsilon \)-local then its lift \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} : H^s(\tilde{E}) \oplus H^s(\tilde{X}) \to H^s(\tilde{E}) \oplus H^s(\tilde{X}) \) (defined for \( s > d - 1/2 \)) is \( \Gamma \)-tr and

\[
\text{Sp}_\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}).
\]

If \(-\mu = -\infty \) and \( \mathcal{P} \) is has kernels as in Definition 4.4 then explicitly

\[
\text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}) = \int_M \text{Sp}_{E_\mathcal{E}} a(x, x) dx + \int_{\partial M} \text{Sp}_{X_\mathcal{E}} p(x', x') dx' + \int_M \text{Sp}_{E_{\mathcal{E}}} g_0(x, x) dx + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\partial M} \text{Sp}_{E_{\mathcal{E}}} \partial_{\nu,x}^{-1} p_i(x, y) |_{x=x'=y} dx'
\]

(\( \text{Sp}_F \) denotes the trace on the finite dimensional vector space \( F \); \( \partial_\nu \) is differentiation in normal direction).

**Proof.** The inclusion \( H^{s+\mu} \hookrightarrow H^s \) is of trace class by Theorem 3.4. Therefore \( \mathcal{P} : H^s \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} H^{s+\mu} \hookrightarrow H^s \) is of trace class, being the composition of a bounded operator and a trace class operator. If \( \mu - 4m > s' - s > 0 \) then

\[
\text{Sp}(\mathcal{P} : H^{s'} \to H^{s'}) = \text{Sp}(H^s \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} H^{s+\mu} \hookrightarrow H^{s'}) = \text{Sp}(H^s \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} H^{s+\mu} \hookrightarrow H^s) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P} : H^s \to H^s).
\]

Here we used the trace property, noting that \( H^{s+\mu} \hookrightarrow H^s \) is trace class. Inductively, the trace is independent of \( s \) for arbitrary \( s \).

Identical arguments apply to the lift \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \), replacing trace by \( \Gamma \)-trace and using Theorem 3.4.

Now we come to the explicit computation, and \( \mu = -\infty \). Observe (with the notion of 4.1) \( \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Sp}(A) + \text{Sp}(G) + \text{Sp}(p) \). Note that \( A \) and \( p \) are actually defined on \( L^2 \). The above argument applies to show that \( \text{Sp}(A : H^s \to H^s) = \text{Sp}(A : L^2 \to L^2) \). \( A \) is an integral operator with a smooth kernel and therefore with trace (on \( L^2 \))

\[
\text{Sp}(A) = \int_M \text{Sp}_{E_\mathcal{E}} a(x, x) dx.
\]

Similarly \( \text{Sp}(p) = \int_{\partial M} \text{Sp}_{X_\mathcal{E}} p(x', x') dx' \). For the obvious splitting \( G = G_0 + G_1 + \cdots + G_d \), note that each summand is trace class. \( G_0 \) behaves exactly as \( A \) does. For \( i > 0 \), the operator \( G_i \) is a composition

\[
H^s(E) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\nu}^{-1}} H^{s-i+1}(E) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^{s-i+1/2}(E|_{\partial M}) \xrightarrow{K_i} H^\infty(E) \xrightarrow{i} H^s(E).
\]
Each of the operators is bounded and the inclusion is trace class (\(\text{res}\) denotes the restriction to the boundary and \(K_i\) is the obvious integral operator with smooth kernel from \(E|_{\partial M} \to E\)). Using the trace property and the fact that inclusions of Sobolev spaces commute with differentiation and restriction to the boundary, we see

\[
\sp(G_i) = \sp(i \circ \text{res} \circ \partial_{\nu}^{-1} \circ K_i) =: \sp_{i} P_i.
\]

Now \(P_i\) is an integral operator with smooth kernel on \(\partial M\), namely

\[
P_i f(x') = \int_{\partial M} (\partial_{\nu,x}^{-1} g_i)(x', y') f(y') dy'.
\]

Therefore it extends to a trace class operator on \(L^2(E|_{\partial M})\) with

\[
\sp(G_i) = \sp(P_i) = \int_{\partial M} \sp_{\nu,x}(\partial_{\nu,x}^{-1} g_i(x, y))|_{x=x'=y} dx'.
\]

This establishes the formula for \(\sp(P)\).

Identical arguments apply to the lift \(\tilde{P}\) as far as follows:

\[
\sp_\Gamma(\tilde{P}) = \sp_\Gamma(\tilde{A}) + \sp_\Gamma(\tilde{p}) + \sp_\Gamma(\tilde{G}_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sp_\Gamma(\tilde{P}_i),
\]

where each summand is the lift of an integral operator with smooth kernel on \(L^2(E), L^2(X)\) and \(L^2(E|_{\partial M})\), respectively.

Therefore, it remains to show that for this type of operator the \(\Gamma\)-trace of the lift coincides with the trace on the base: choose a fundamental domain \(U \subset \tilde{M}\) for the covering. Then \(L^2(\tilde{E}) \to l^2(\Gamma) \otimes L^2(E|U)\) is an isometric \(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)\)-isomorphism and \(\tilde{A} = 1 \otimes A\) (as a lift). By Theorem 2.3 (6),

\[
\sp_\Gamma(\tilde{A}) = \text{tr}_\Gamma(1) \cdot \sp(A) = \sp(A),
\]

and similarly for the other operators. \(\square\)

5 Proof of the \(L^2\)-index theorem

5.1. Situation. Let \(\tilde{M} \downarrow M\) be a normal covering of a compact manifold with boundary with deck transformation group \(\Gamma\). Let
Let \( \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A,T) : C^\infty_0(E) \to C^\infty_0(F) \oplus C^\infty_0(Y) \) be an elliptic differential boundary value problem on \( M \). Denote its lift to \( \tilde{M} \) with \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} : C^\infty_0(\tilde{E}) \to C^\infty_0(\tilde{F}) \oplus C^\infty_0(\tilde{Y}) \). Suppose \( \mathcal{P} \) has order \( \mu \geq 0 \) and type \( d \leq \mu \).

We have the extension \( \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{H}_\mu(E) \to \mathcal{L}^2(F) \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(Y) \).

Let \( H_0 : \mathcal{L}^2(E) \to \ker(\mathcal{P}) \) be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel, \( H_1 : \mathcal{L}^2(F) \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(Y) \to \im(\mathcal{P})^\perp \) the orthogonal projection onto the cokernel of \( \mathcal{P} \). Similarly, let \( \tilde{H}_0 \) and \( \tilde{H}_1 \) be the projections onto kernel and cokernel of \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \).

We want to prove the \( L^2 \)-index Theorem for \( \partial \)-manifolds:

5.2. Theorem. \( \dim \Gamma \ker \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Sp}_\Gamma(\tilde{H}_0) \) and \( \dim \Gamma \coker \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Sp}_\Gamma(\tilde{H}_1) \) are finite, and \( \text{ind}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) := \text{Sp}_\Gamma(\tilde{H}_0) - \text{Sp}_\Gamma(\tilde{H}_1) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Sp}(H_0) - \text{Sp}(H_1) \).

The idea of the proof is the following: \( H_i \) and \( \tilde{H}_i \) have in general nothing to do with each other. But suppose we could find a bounded liftable "inverse" \( \mathcal{Q} \) to \( \mathcal{P} \). Then the equations

\[
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q} = 1 - H_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} = 1 - H_0
\]

could be lifted and we could compare the trace of \( H_i \) and \( \tilde{H}_i \) directly. This is not possible. We use a parametrix instead:

Let \( \mathcal{Q} \) be an \( \epsilon \)-local parametrix of \( \mathcal{P} \) (use Proposition 4.6) so that

\[
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q} = 1 - \mathcal{S}_1, \quad \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} = 1 - \mathcal{S}_0
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = 1 - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = 1 - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0.
\]

(5.3)

Automatically, \( \mathcal{S}_0 = 1 - \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{S}_1 \) are \( \epsilon \)-local since the right hand side is. Note that \( \mathcal{S}_0 \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 \) are operators of order \( -\infty \) and type \( \mu \), whereas \( \mathcal{S}_1 \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 \) have order \( -\infty \) and type zero.

We know already that \( \text{Sp}_\Gamma \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i = \text{Sp}\mathcal{S}_i \) (Theorem 4.9). It remains to show that we can compute the index also in terms of the \( \mathcal{S}_i \), namely

\[
\text{Sp}\mathcal{S}_0 - \text{Sp}\mathcal{S}_1 = \text{Sp}H_0 - \text{Sp}H_1
\]

(5.4)

(and similarly on \( \tilde{M} \)). This will be achieved using Theorem 2.5. We start with
5.5. Proposition. The image of the projection $H_0 : L^2(E) \to L^2(E)$ (i.e. the kernel of $P$) is contained in $H^\infty(E)$ and $H_0$ restricts to a bounded operator $H_0 : H^s(E) \to H^{s+t}(E)$ for arbitrary $s, t \geq 0$. Especially $H_0 : H^s \to H^s$ is trace class for every $s \geq 0$ and the trace is independent of $s$.

The same holds for $\bar{H}_0$ if we replace $\text{tr}$ by $\text{tr}_\Gamma$.

Proof. Elliptic regularity and the corresponding a priori estimates (the theory works as in the compact case, compare [13, 4.14] for a generalization) imply that the kernels of $P$ and $\bar{P}$ are contained in every Sobolev space $H^s(E)$ and $H^s(\bar{E})$ respectively, and that the Sobolev norms on this subspace are equivalent to the $L^2$-norm. This implies everything if we consider $H_0$ as composition of the bounded operator $H_0 : H^s \to H^{s+4m}$ with the trace class operator $i : H^{s+4m} \hookrightarrow H^s$ (and similarly for $\bar{H}_0$).

Now we can prove equation 5.4. The following computations are formulated only for the lifted operators. They are valid also on the base with the obvious changes.

Multiplying the equations in (5.3) with $\bar{H}_1$ from the left and with $\bar{H}_0$ from the right, we get

$$\bar{H}_1 = \bar{H}_1 \bar{S}_1 \quad \bar{H}_0 = \bar{S}_0 \bar{H}_0,$$

where the equation for $\bar{H}_0$ is valid on $H^\mu$ and the one for $\bar{H}_1$ is valid on all of $L^2$. By multiplication of (5.3) with $\bar{P}$ we get on $H^\mu$

$$\bar{P} \bar{S}_0 = \bar{S}_1 \bar{P}.$$

Following Atiyah [1] we now define

$$\bar{T}_i := (1 - \bar{H}_i) \bar{S}_i (1 - \bar{H}_i) \quad (i = 0, 1).$$

Because of Theorem 2.3 (3) $\bar{T}_0$ is a $\Gamma$-tr operator on the Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-module $H^\mu$ and $\bar{T}_1$ is a $\Gamma$-tr operator on the Hilbert $N(\Gamma)$-module $L^2$. Since $\bar{H}_i$ are projectors

$$\text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{T}_0 = \text{Sp}_\Gamma (\bar{S}_0 (1 - \bar{H}_0)) = \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{S}_0 - \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{H}_0 \quad \text{use (5.6)},$$

$$\text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{T}_1 = \text{Sp}_\Gamma ((1 - \bar{H}_1) \bar{S}_1) = \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{S}_1 - \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{H}_1 \quad \text{use (5.6)}.$$  

Therefore,

$$\text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{T}_0 = \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{T}_1 \iff \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{S}_0 - \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{S}_1 = \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{H}_0 - \text{Sp}_\Gamma \bar{H}_1.$$
Next observe

\[ \ker \tilde{P} \subset \ker \tilde{T}_0; \quad \ker \tilde{P}^* \subset \ker \tilde{T}_1^*; \]

\[ \tilde{T}_0 = \tilde{P} \tilde{S}_0 - \tilde{P} \tilde{H}_0 \tilde{S}_0 - \tilde{P} \tilde{H}_0 \tilde{S}_0 \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{P} \tilde{H}_0 \tilde{S}_0 \tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{S}_1 \tilde{P} = \cdots = \tilde{T}_1 \tilde{P}. \]

Application of Proposition 2.7 yields \( \text{Sp} \Gamma \tilde{T}_0 = \text{Sp} \Gamma \tilde{T}_1 \), i.e. \( \text{ind} \tilde{P} = \text{Sp} \Gamma \tilde{S}_0 - \text{Sp} \Gamma \tilde{S}_1 \). Similarly, \( \text{ind} \bar{P} = \text{Sp} \bar{S}_0 - \text{Sp} \bar{S}_1 \). Now Theorem 4.9 applied to the \( \epsilon \)-local smoothing operators \( \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1 \) finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

6 Index and adjoint boundary value problems

The purpose of this section is to simplify the index formula by replacing the cokernel with the kernel of the adjoint.

6.1. Theorem. Let \( E,F \downarrow M, X,Y \downarrow \partial M \) be Riemannian vector bundles, \( P := (A,p) : C_0^\infty(E) \to C_0^\infty(F) \oplus C_0^\infty(Y) \) an elliptic differential boundary value problem. If \( Q := (B,q) : C_0^\infty(F) \to C_0^\infty(E) \oplus C_0^\infty(X) \) is adjoint to \( (A,p) \) with respect to the Greenian formula

\[ (Ae,f)_{L^2(F)} - (e,Bf)_{L^2(E)} = (pe,sf)_{L^2(Y)} - (te,qf)_{L^2(X)} \quad (6.2) \]

\( e \in C_0^\infty(E), f \in C_0^\infty(F) \) and \( t, s \) are auxiliary boundary differential operators, then

\[ L^2(F) \oplus L^2(Y) \supset \text{im}(\mathcal{P})^{\perp \perp} \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{P} L^2(F) : (f,y) \mapsto f \]

is an isomorphism onto \( \ker(Q) \) with inverse

\[ \alpha : \ker(Q) \to \text{im}(\mathcal{P})^{\perp \perp} : f \mapsto (f,-sf). \]

Proof. \[ \square \]

Being in the situation of the \( L^2 \)-index Theorem 1.2, the isomorphism of Theorem 6.1 is equivariant under the group operation and \( \text{coker}(\tilde{P}) \) is \( \Gamma \)-isomorphic to \( \ker(Q) \). Therefore the index theorem can be stated as follows:
6.3. Theorem. Suppose $M$ is a compact boundary manifold with normal covering $\tilde{M}$ and covering group $\Gamma$. Let $P := (A,T)$ be an elliptic differential boundary value problem on $M$ with lift $\tilde{P}$. Let $Q := (B,S)$ be an adjoint with lift $\tilde{Q}$. Then

$$\text{ind}(P) = \text{ind}_\Gamma(\tilde{P}) = \dim_\Gamma(\ker \tilde{P}) - \dim_\Gamma(\ker \tilde{Q}).$$

We apply this to compute the Euler characteristic of a $\partial$-manifold. Lott/Lück [7] get the same result with other methods.

6.4. Theorem. Suppose $M$ is a compact manifold with boundary $\partial M = M_1 \sqcup M_2$. Let $\tilde{M}$ be a normal covering of $M$ with covering group $\Gamma$. Then

$$\chi(M, M_1) = \sum_p (-1)^p \dim_\Gamma H^p_{(2)}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M}_1)$$

with $H^p_{(2)}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M}_1) = \{ \omega \in C^\infty(\Lambda^p T\tilde{M}); \ |\omega|_{L^2} < \infty, d\omega = 0 = \delta\omega; b_1^*(\omega) = 0 = b_2^*(\omega) \}$. ($b_i : \tilde{M}_i \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$ are the inclusions).

Proof. To keep notation simple suppose $M_1 = \emptyset$. We know $\chi(M) = \text{ind}(\tilde{P}^{ev})$, where $P^{ev/odd}$ are the boundary value problems

$$(d + \delta, b_2^* \circ *) : C^\infty(\Lambda^{ev/odd} TM) \to C^\infty(\Lambda^{odd/ev} TM) \oplus C^\infty(\Lambda^* T\partial M).$$

We have the following Greenian formula

$$((d + \delta)\omega, \eta)_{L^2(M)} =$$

$$= (\omega, (\delta + d)\eta)_{L^2(M)} \pm \int_{\partial M} b^*\omega \wedge b^*(\eta) \pm \int_{\partial M} b^*(\eta) \wedge b^*(\omega).$$

Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 yield then

$$\chi(M) = \text{ind}(\tilde{P}^{ev}) = \dim \ker(\tilde{P}^{ev}) - \dim \ker(\tilde{P}^{odd}).$$

In view of elliptic regularity this is just the claim.
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