MODULAR INVARIANT OF QUANTUM TORI II: THE GOLDEN MEAN
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ABSTRACT. In [2], a modular invariant \( j^{\theta}(\theta) \) of the quantum torus \( T(\theta) \) was defined. In this paper, we consider the case of \( \theta = \varphi \) = the golden mean. We show that \( j^{\varphi}(\varphi) = 9538.249655644 \) by producing an explicit formula for it involving weighted versions of the Rogers-Ramanujan functions.
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INTRODUCTION

In [2], using nonstandard methods, the following definition of modular invariant \( j^{q}(\theta) \) of the quantum torus \( T(\theta) = \mathbb{R}/(1, \theta) \) was presented. Let \( \| \cdot \| \) = the distance-to-the-nearest-integer function and for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) let

\[ B_\varepsilon(\theta) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \| n\theta \| < \varepsilon \}. \]

Define

\[ j_\varepsilon(\theta) := \frac{12^3}{1 - J_\varepsilon(\theta)}, \quad J_\varepsilon(\theta) := \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{\sum_{n \in B_\varepsilon(\theta)} n^{-6}}{\sum_{n \in B_\varepsilon(\theta)} n^{-4}} \right)^2 \]

and

\[ j^{q}(\theta) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} j_\varepsilon(\theta) \]

provided the limit exists; if not, we define \( j^{q}(\theta) = \infty \). In [2] it was shown that \( j^{q}(\theta) = \infty \) for all \( \theta \in \mathbb{Q} \).

In this paper we study the case of \( \theta = \varphi \) = the golden mean. We will show that \( j^{\varphi}(\varphi) \approx 9538.249655644 = 12^3 \times 5.5198204025717 \) by providing an explicit formula for \( j^{q}(\varphi) \), in which

\[ J^{q}(\varphi) = \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{G_6(\varphi) + H_6(\varphi)}{G_4(\varphi) + H_4(\varphi)} \right)^2 \]

and where \( G_M(x), H_M(x) \) are weighted variants of the Rogers-Ramanujan functions.
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For example, the function $G_M(x)$ is defined as follows: for each partition $I = i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k$ of $n$, consider the weighting polynomial $f_I(x) = x^{i_1} + \cdots + x^{i_k}$. Let $P(n)$ be the set of partitions of $n$ whose parts are distinct and whose differences are at least 2 and write $C_{x,M}(n) := x^M \sum_{I \in P(n)} f_I(x)^{-M}$. Then

$$G_M(x) = \sum C_{x,M}(n)x^n.$$ 

If one replaces $f_I(x)$ by the equiweight $x^n$ for all $I \in P(n)$, one recovers the variable part of the function appearing on the left hand side of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity.

The classical Rogers-Ramanujan functions appear in Baxter's solution [11] to the hard hexagon model of statistical mechanics; in view of the quantum statistical mechanical treatment of Complex Multiplication produced in [3], [4], it would seem not unreasonable to ask that the weighted Rogers-Ramanujan functions or $j^{\text{eq}}(\varphi)$ appear as partition function or internal energy of some quantum statistical mechanical system.
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1. The Golden Mean

Let

$$\varphi := \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

be the golden mean. In this section we recall some facts about $\varphi$ and its diophantine approximations, see for example [11], [13].

The minimal polynomial of $\varphi$ is $X^2 - X - 1$ and $\varphi$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, whose inverse is $-1$ times its conjugate:

$$\varphi^{-1} = -\varphi' = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}.$$ 

The discriminant of $\varphi$ is $\sqrt{5}$, and the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is one. The pseudo lattice $\langle 1, \varphi \rangle$ has endomorphism ring equal to $O_K$, hence has conductor $f = 1$.

If we denote by $[a_0, a_1, \ldots]$ the sequence of partial quotients of a real number $\theta$ then for $\theta = \varphi$, $a_i = 1$ for all $i$. It follows that the sequence of best approximations $(p_m, q_m)$ of $\varphi$ is given by $(F_{m+1}, F_m)$, where $\{F_m\} = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \ldots\}$, $m \geq 1$, denotes the Fibonacci sequence:

$$F_{m+1} = F_m + F_{m-1}, \quad m \geq 1.$$ 

See for example [11]. This means that as $m \to \infty,$

$$\epsilon_m := F_m \varphi - F_{m+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

and that for all $0 < n < F_m,$

$$\|n \varphi\| > \|F_m \varphi\| = |\epsilon_m|,$$ 

where as before $\|x\|$ is the distance of $x$ to the nearest integer.

We recall Binet's formula [9]:

$$F_m = \frac{\varphi^m - (-\varphi')^m}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\varphi^m - (-1)^m \varphi^{-m}}{\sqrt{5}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi^m}{\sqrt{5}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{\varphi^m - \varphi^{-m}}{\sqrt{5}} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$
Using Binet’s formula, we may obtain the following explicit expression for $\varepsilon_m$ of (2):

$$\varepsilon_m = (-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m}.$$

Indeed, for each integer $m$ we have

$$F_m \varphi - F_{m+1} = \left( \frac{\varphi^m + (-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m}}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \varphi - \left( \frac{\varphi^{m+1} + (-1)^m \varphi^{-m-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \varphi^{m+1} + (-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m-1} - \varphi^{m+1} + (-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\varphi + \varphi^{-1})(-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m} = (-1)^{m+1} \varphi^{-m}.$$

Notice then that for $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\|F_m \varphi\| = |\varepsilon_m|.$$

For $m$ large, $\sqrt{5}F_m \approx \varphi^m$, with an error term $= \pm \varphi^{-m}$ that decays exponentially as $m \to \infty$.

Finally, we recall Zeckendorf’s representation (which is actually a special case of a more general result of Ostrowski [10]):

**Theorem 1** (Zeckendorf, [14]). Every natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ may be written uniquely as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers:

$$n = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_k}, \quad 2 \leq i_1, i_1 + 2 \leq i_2, \ldots, i_{k-1} + 2 \leq i_k, \ 1 \leq k.$$

**Note 1.** The condition that $i_1 \geq 2$ is to ensure uniqueness in the decomposition, otherwise the value 1 could occur in two different ways, as $F_1$ or $F_2$.

2. **An Explicit Formula**

In this section we will produce, assuming that $j^\varepsilon q$ converges, an explicit formula for $q_\varepsilon$ obtained by evaluating at $q_\varepsilon$ a certain rational expression involving weighted variants of the Rogers-Ramanujan functions. The convergence of $j^\varepsilon q$ will be then proved in [3].

Recall the standard formula (1). Write $\varepsilon = |\varepsilon_m|$ and $B = B_m(\varphi) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \|n \varphi\| < \varepsilon\}$ so that

$$j_\varepsilon^B(q) = \frac{49}{40} \left( \sum_{n \in B} n^{-6} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{n \in B} n^{-4} \right)^3.$$

The first step is to determine the elements of $B$ in terms of their Zeckendorf representations. In what follows, for a multi-index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, define $|I| = k$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $n = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_k}$ written in its unique Zeckendorf form. Then $n \in B$ if and only

1. $|I| \geq 1$, $i_1 \geq m + 1$ or
2. $|I| \geq 2$, $i_1 = m$ and $i_2 - m$ is odd.

**Note 2.** Since the Zeckendorf form consists of sums of nonconsecutive Fibonacci numbers, we must have that $i_2 - m \geq 3$ in II.

**Proof.** First note that we have trivially by (3) that $F_{m+i} \in B$ for $i \geq 1$. Suppose that $n = F_{i_1}$ is a sum of more than one non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers and $i_1 \geq m + 1$. Then we have

$$\|n \varphi\| < \varphi^{-(m+1)} + \varphi^{-(m+3)} + \cdots = \varphi^{-(m+1)}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1}.$$
Since $\varphi = \varphi^2 - 1$ it follows that $(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1} = \varphi$. Then $\|n\varphi\| < \varphi^{-m}$ which implies that $n \in B$. Thus every element of the type described in I. belongs to $B$. On the other hand, if $i_1 \leq m - 1$, then we claim that

$$\varphi^{-m} = \varepsilon < \|n\varphi\| < 1 - \varphi^{-1} = \varphi^{-2}.$$ 

Indeed, if $n = F_I$, the associated error term sum

$$\varepsilon_I := \pm \varepsilon_{i_1} \pm \cdots \pm \varepsilon_{i_k}$$

is minimized in absolute value by taking $i_1 = m - 1$ and assuming that the remaining indices $i_2, \ldots$ are such that the signs of the associated error terms $\varepsilon_{i_2}, \ldots$ are different from the sign of the error term $\varepsilon_{m-1}$. More precisely,

$$|\varepsilon_I| > \varphi^{-(m-1)} - (\varphi^{-(m+2)} + \varphi^{-(m+4)} + \cdots) = \varphi^{-m}(\varphi - \varphi^{-2}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1}).$$

Since $\varphi^{-2}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1} = \varphi^{-1}$ and $\varphi - \varphi^{-1} = 1$, it follows that $|\varepsilon_I| > \varphi^{-m} = \varepsilon$. In addition $|\varepsilon_I|$ is maximized by taking $i_1 = 2, i_2 = 4, \ldots$, so that

$$|\varepsilon_I| < \varphi^{-2} + \varphi^{-4} + \cdots = \frac{1}{\varphi^2 - 1} = \varphi^{-1}.$$ 

Note that the distance of the latter bound $\varphi^{-1}$ to the nearest integer is $1 - \varphi^{-1} = \varphi^{-2}$. It follows then from the definition of $\|\cdot\|$ and the fact that we are assuming that $m > 2$ that $\|n\varphi\| > \varphi^{-m} = \varepsilon$ and $n \not\in B$. Now if $i_1 = m$ and $i_2 = m$ is even, then the error terms $\varepsilon_m$ and $\varepsilon_{i_2}$ share the same sign, and we have

$$\|n\varphi\| > \varphi^{-m} + \varphi^{-i_2} - (\varphi^{-(i_2+3)} + \varphi^{-(i_2+5)} + \cdots) = \varepsilon + (\varphi^{-i_2} - \varphi^{-2}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1}) > \varepsilon$$

Indeed, the last inequality follows since

$$\varphi^{-i_2} - \varphi^{-(i_2+3)}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1} =$$

$$\varphi^{-i_2}(1 - \varphi^{-3}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1}) =$$

$$\varphi^{-i_2}(1 - \varphi^{-2}) > 0.$$ 

On the other hand, if $i_1 = m$ and $i_2 = m + k$, $k$ odd, then the sign of the corresponding error terms differ, and we have

$$\|n\varphi\| < \varphi^{-m} - \varphi^{-m-k} + \varphi^{-m-k-3} + \varphi^{-m-k-5} + \cdots$$

$$= \varphi^{-m} - \varphi^{-m-k}(1 - (\varphi^{-3} + \varphi^{-5} + \cdots))$$

$$= \varphi^{-m} - \varphi^{-m-k}(1 - \varphi^{-3}(1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi^{-m} - \varphi^{-m-k}(1 - \varphi^{-2}) < \varepsilon$$

so that $n \in B$. 

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of increasing, finite tuples $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ of natural numbers with $|I| = l \geq 2$ and which are not consecutive i.e. $i_1 + 2 \leq i_2, \ldots, i_{l-1} + 2 \leq i_l$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{N}(m) = \{I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l) | i_1 \geq m, i_2 = m + k \text{ for } k \text{ odd}\}.$$ 

Also denote by

$$\mathcal{M}(m) = \{I \in \mathcal{N}(m) | i_1 = m \text{ and } i_2 = m + k \text{ for } k \text{ odd}\}.$$
Consider $B_m$ for $m > 2$. Then by the Lemma we have

$$J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) := \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{\sum_{n \in B_m} n^{-6}}{\sum_{n \in B_m} n^{-4}} \right)^2 = \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{m+i}^{-6} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m+1)} F_{I}^{-6} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m)} F_{I}^{-4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{m+i}^{-4} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m+1)} F_{I}^{-4} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m)} F_{I}^{-4}} \right)^2,$$

an expression whose status is still only formal. Consider also the formal expression

$$J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) := \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-6i} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (1)} \varphi_I^{-6} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (0)} \varphi_I^{-4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-4i} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (1)} \varphi_I^{-4} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (0)} \varphi_I^{-4}} \right)^2,$$

where

$$\varphi_I := \varphi^{1} + \cdots + \varphi^{12}.$$

**Theorem 2.** If $J^{qt}(q)$ converges then so does $J_{B_m}^{qt}(q)$ for each $m$ and

$$J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) \rightarrow J^{qt}(q)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

**Proof.** Multiply the numerator and denominator of $J_{B_m}^{qt}(q)$ by $F_m^{12}$ to obtain

$$J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) \geq \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_m/F_{m+i})^6 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m+1)} (F_m/F_I)^6 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m)} (F_m/F_I)^4}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_m/F_{m+i})^4 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m+1)} (F_m/F_I)^4 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / (m)} (F_m/F_I)^4} \right)^2.$$

It will suffice to show that each term $T_m^6 = T_{m,I}^{-6} (T_m^{-4} = T_{m,I}^{-4})$ appearing in a sum contained in the numerator (denominator) of (7) satisfies

$$C_m^{-6} \cdot T^{-6} < C_m^{-6} \cdot T^{-6} \quad (C_m < T_m^6 < C_m^{-6} < T_m^4 < C_m^{-4} \cdot T^{-4})$$

where $T = T_I$ is the correspondingly indexed term of $J^{qt}(q)$ and

$$C_m = \frac{1 + \varphi^{-2m}}{1 - \varphi^{-2m}}.$$

This will give convergence of each $J_{B_m}^{qt}(q)$, as well as the bound

$$\left( \frac{1 - \varphi^{-2m}}{1 + \varphi^{-2m}} \right)^{24} J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) < \left( \frac{1 + \varphi^{-2m}}{1 - \varphi^{-2m}} \right)^{24} J^{qt}(q),$$

which implies that $J_{B_m}^{qt}(q) \rightarrow J^{qt}(q)$.

We will now make use of Binet's formula, $\sqrt{5}F_m = (\varphi^m \pm \varphi^{-m})$. Note that the $\sqrt{5}$ factors drop out and so we may simply replace every Fibonacci term $F_m$ appearing by $\varphi^m \pm \varphi^{-m}$.

We consider first the numerator of (7), treating each of the three sums there separately. The first sum may be written

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_m/F_{m+i})^6 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi^m \pm \varphi^{-m}}{\varphi^{m+i} \pm (-1)^i \varphi^{-(m+i)}} \right)^6 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-6i} \left( \frac{1 + \varphi^{-2m}}{1 - (-1)^i \varphi^{-2m-2i}} \right)^6.$$

Note that

$$\left( \frac{1 - \varphi^{-2m}}{1 + \varphi^{-2m}} \right)^6 < \left( \frac{1 + \varphi^{-2m}}{1 - (-1)^i \varphi^{-2m-2i}} \right)^6 < \left( \frac{1 + \varphi^{-2m}}{1 - \varphi^{-2m}} \right)^6.$$
The next sum is

\[ \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}(m+1)} (F_m/F_I)^6 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}(m+1)} \left( \frac{q^m \pm q^{-m}}{(q^{m+i_1} \pm q^{m-i_1}) + \cdots + (q^{m+i_k} \pm q^{-m-i_k})} \right)^6, \]

where we are writing our generic \( I \in \mathcal{D}(m+1) \) in the form \( I = (i_1, m, \ldots, i_k + m) \) with \( 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \). Letting \( I_0 = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \) then each term of the sum in (8) may be re-written

\[ \left( \frac{1 \pm q^{-2m}}{\varphi_{I_0} + (\pm q^{-I_0-2m})} \right)^6 = q^{-6} \frac{1 \pm q^{-2m}}{1 + (\pm q^{-I_0-2m}/\varphi_{I_0})} \]

where

\[ \pm q^{-I_0-2m} := \pm q^{-i_1-2m} \pm \cdots \pm q^{-i_k-2m}, \]

the signs determined as in Binet’s formula by the parities of the powers. It is easy to see that

\[ \left( \frac{1 - q^{-2m}}{1 + q^{-2m}} \right)^6 < \left( \frac{1 \pm q^{-2m}}{1 + (\pm q^{-I_0-2m}/\varphi_{I_0})} \right)^6 < \left( \frac{1 + q^{-2m}}{1 - q^{-2m}} \right)^6, \]

indeed, both inequalities in (10) follow since

\[ q^{-2m} > (\pm q^{-I_0-2m})/\varphi_{I_0} > -q^{-2m}, \]

true as

\[ (\pm q^{-I_0-2m}/\varphi_{I_0} = q^{-2m} \frac{\pm q^{-i_1} \cdots \pm q^{-i_k}}{1 \pm q^{i_1} \cdots \pm q^{i_k}}. \]

What remains is the sum over \( \mathcal{D}(m) \): the analysis here is essentially the same as that made for the sum over \( \mathcal{D}(m+1) \), only we take into account that \( I = (m, m + j, m + i_3, \ldots, m + i_k) \) where \( j \) is odd. Writing \( I_0 = (0, j, i_3, \ldots, i_k) \), then we have the equation (9) with

\[ \pm q^{-I_0-2m} = \pm q^{-2m} \mp q^{-2m} \pm \cdots \pm q^{-i_k-2m}, \]

where the sign of \( q^{-j-2m} \) indicates that this sign is opposite to that of \( q^{-2m} \), as \( j \) is odd. The analogue of (11) is then

\[ (\pm q^{-I_0-2m}/\varphi_{I_0} = q^{-2m} \frac{\pm 1 \mp q^{-j} \pm \cdots \pm q^{-i_k}}{1 \pm q^j \cdots \pm q^{i_k}}, \]

which yields the analogue of (10) in this case. This completes our bounding of the numerator. Analogous bounds, with the exponent 6 replaced by 4, may be found for the corresponding sums in the denominator of \( \sigma_{B_m}^{\text{ct}} \). The result now follows. \( \square \)

Let \( P(n) \) be the set of partitions of \( n \) into into distinct parts whose differences are at least 2, and let \( c(n) = |P(n)| \). The generating function

\[ F(x) = \sum_{n} c(n)x^n = \sum \frac{x^n}{(1-x)(1-x^3)(1-x^6)} \]

is of substantial combinatorial interest: it is the left-hand side of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity \([5]\).

For each partition \( I \in P(n) \), let \( f_I(x) = x^{i_1} + \cdots + x^{i_k} \) be the associated weighting polynomial. Define

\[ C_{x,M}(n) = x^M \sum_{I \in P(n)} f_I(x)^{-M}. \]

Considering the generating function

\[ G_M(x) = \sum C_{x,M}(n)x^n. \]
Clearly we have
\[ G_M(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-Mi} + \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(1)} \phi^{-M}. \]

Similarly, let \( Q(n) \subset P(n) \) be the set of those partitions \( I = i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \) in \( P(n) \) for which \( i_1 \) is odd and \( \geq 3 \). Let
\[ D_{x,M}(n) := x^{Mn} \sum_{I \in Q(n)} (1 + f_I(x))^{-M} \]
and define
\[ H_M(x) := \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(0)} \phi^{-M}. \]

Then
\[ H_M(\phi) = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(0)} \phi^{-M}. \]

The following is then immediate:

**Corollary 1.** Let \( J^{qt}(\phi) \) be as above. Then
\[ J^{qt}(\phi) = \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{[G_6(\phi) + H_6(\phi)]^2}{(G_4(\phi) + H_4(\phi))^3} \right). \]

**Note 3.** If one replaces in the formula for \( C_{x,M}(n) \) the weighting polynomial \( f_I(x)^{-M} \) by the equiweight \( x^{-Mn} \) one recovers \( c(n) \). Thus the functions \( G_M(x), H_M(x) \) may be viewed as weighted variants of the variable part of the Rogers-Ramanujan function.

3. **Convergence**

In this section we will show that \( j_q(\phi)^{qt} < \infty \). As before we write \( j_q(\phi)^{qt} := 12^5/(1 - J^{qt}(\phi)). \)

**Theorem 3.** \( j(\phi)^{qt} \) converges with the bounds
\[ 9150 < j_q(\phi)^{qt} < 9840. \]

**Proof.** To prove the convergence of \( j_q(\phi)^{qt} \), it is enough to prove convergence of the explicit formula \( j_q(\phi)^{qt} \) obtained from (5). Observe first that
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-6i} = (\phi^6 - 1)^{-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-4i} = (\phi^4 - 1)^{-1} \]
so we may write
\[ J_q(\phi) = \frac{49}{40} \left( \frac{[\phi^6 - 1] + \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(1)} \phi^{-6} + \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(0)} \phi^{-6}}{[\phi^4 - 1] + \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(1)} \phi^{-4} + \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(0)} \phi^{-4}} \right)^2. \]

We now find an explicit approximation and an upper bound for the sum \( \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(1)} \phi^{-M} \) where \( M \) is a positive integer. In fact, we will show that
\[ \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}(1)} \phi^{-M} = \frac{1}{\phi^M - 1} + \frac{1}{[\phi^2 - 1]^{1/2}}, \]
where
\[ C(M) < \hat{C}(M) := \frac{1}{\phi^2 M(\phi^M - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{\phi^M(\phi^M - 1)^2(\phi^{2M} - \phi^M - 1)}. \]
Consider first the sum of those $I$ with $|I| = 2$:

$$
\sum_{i_1 \geq 1, \sum \geq 2, i_3 \geq i_2 + 2} \frac{1}{(\psi^{i_1} + \psi^{i_2} + \psi^{i_3})^M} = \sum_{i_1 \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_1})^M} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_2} + \psi^{i_3})^M + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (1 + \psi^k)^{-M}} \right\}
$$

(14)

$$
< \frac{1}{\psi^{M-1}} \frac{1}{(\psi^{i_2} + \psi^{i_3} - \psi^{i_1})^M}
$$

(15)

The equality (14) produces the explicit term $1/(\psi^M - 1)(\psi^2 + 1)^M$ appearing in (12); the second term in (15) is the first bounding term in (13).

For $|I| = 3$ we have

$$
\sum_{i_2 \geq i_1 + 2, i_3 \geq i_2 + 2} \frac{1}{(\psi^{i_1} + \psi^{i_2} + \psi^{i_3})^M} = \frac{1}{\psi^{M-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_1} + \psi^{i_2})^M}
$$

$$
< \frac{1}{\psi^{M-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_2} - i_1 + \psi^{i_3} - i_1)^M}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i_1 \geq 1} \frac{1}{\psi^{M-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_2} - i_1)^M}
$$

$$
< \frac{1}{\psi^{M-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^{i_3} - i_2)^M}
$$

$$
= \frac{(\psi - M)^2}{(\psi - 1)^3}
$$

Inductively, for the terms with $|I| = l \geq 3$ we have the bound

$$
\frac{(\psi - M)^{l-1}}{(\psi - 1)^l}
$$

Summing these bounds from $l = 3$ to $\infty$ gives the second term in (13):

$$
\sum_{l=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\psi - M)^{l-1}}{(\psi - 1)^l} = \psi^M \sum_{l=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\psi^M - 1)^l} = \frac{1}{\psi^M(\psi^M - 1)^2}(\psi^M - \psi^M - 1)
$$

We now bound the second type of sum appearing in $J_3^I(\psi)$, $\sum_{I \in 3I(0)} \psi^{-M}$. We will show here that

$$
\sum_{I \in 3I(0)} \psi^{-M} = \frac{1}{(1 + \psi^3)^M} + D(M)
$$

where

$$
D(M) < \tilde{D}(M) := \frac{1}{\psi^3 M(\psi^2 M - 1)} + \frac{1}{\psi M(\psi^2 M - 1)(\psi^2 M - \psi^M - 1)}
$$
When $|I| = 2$ we have, since $i_1 = 0$, that $i_2 = 2j + 1$ is odd, where $j \geq 1$ (recall the definition of $\mathfrak{M}(m)$ found in (5)). For such $I$ we have the contribution

$$\sum_{i=2j+1 \atop j \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^i)^M} = \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^3)^M} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + \varphi^{(2j+1)-M})$$

\[
< \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^3)^M} + \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^3)^M} + \varphi^{-M} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varphi^{-2Mj} \\
= \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^3)^M} + \frac{1}{1 - \varphi^{-2M}} \\
= \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^3)^M} + \frac{1}{\varphi^{3M}(\varphi^{2M} - 1)}.
\]

(18)

For $|I| = 3$ we have

$$\sum_{j \geq 1, k \geq (2j+1)+2} \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^{2j+1} + \varphi^k)^M} < \sum_{j \geq 1, k \geq (2j+1)+2} \varphi^{-M(2j+1)} \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^{k-(2j+1)})^M}$$

\[
< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-M(2j+1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi^{-Mk} \\
= \frac{1}{\varphi^M(\varphi^{2M} - 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi^M(\varphi^{M} - 1)} \\
= \frac{1}{\varphi^M + 1} \left( \frac{\varphi^{-M}}{\varphi^{M} - 1} \right)^{l-1}
\]

(19)

For the sum over $I$ with $|I| = l$, we obtain inductively the bound

$$\frac{1}{\varphi^M + 1} \left( \frac{\varphi^{-M}}{\varphi^{M} - 1} \right)^{l-1}$$

and summing these from $l = 3$ to $\infty$ gives

$$\frac{1}{\varphi^M(\varphi^{2M} - 1)(\varphi^{2M} - \varphi^{M} - 1)}.$$

It follows then that

$$J^{qt}(\varphi) < \frac{49}{40} \left[ (\varphi^6 - 1)^{-1} + ((\varphi^6 - 1)(\varphi^2 + 1)^6)^{-1} + (1 + \varphi^3)^{-6} + \tilde{C}(6) + \tilde{D}(6) \right]^2$$

\[
= \frac{1}{(\varphi^4 - 1)^{-1} + ((\varphi^4 - 1)(\varphi^2 + 1)^4)^{-1} + (1 + \varphi^3)^{-4} + \tilde{C}(4) + \tilde{D}(4)}
\]

\[
\approx 0.824376700276.
\]

A lower bound may be given by

$$0.81115979990388 \approx \frac{49}{40} \left[ (\varphi^6 - 1)^{-1} + ((\varphi^6 - 1)(\varphi^2 + 1)^6)^{-1} + (1 + \varphi^3)^{-6} \right]^2$$

\[
< J^{qt}(\varphi)
\]
which give the bounds presented in the statement of the theorem.

□

Note 4. Using a program such as PARI one can calculate using the explicit formula of Corollary 1 that $j^{th}(\varphi) \approx 9538.249655644$.
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